IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,

FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	GS MAY 22 PM 3: 55
Anthony L. Pope) Plaintiff,)	CASE NO. 008 (502 ORB)
	PRISONER'S IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION
information I offer throughout this	my poverty I am unable to pay the
In support of this application, I p	
 Are you presently employed? 	Yes No
If your answer is "yes," state both per month, and give the name and ad	your gross and net salary or wages dress of your employer:
Gross:N	et:
Employer:	
If the answer is "no," state the damount of the gross and net salary received. (If you are imprisoned, employment prior to imprisonment.) Constructors Christies Welding Inc. Scapo 2002 last worked - \$1,900 gross month	and wages per month which you specify the last place of

2. Have you received, within the past twel from any of the following sources:	lve (12) months, any money
a. Business, Profession or	Yes No <u>/</u>
self employment b. Income from stocks, bonds,	YesNo _V
or royalties? c. Rent payments?	
d. Pensions, annuities, or	Yes No $\frac{\checkmark}{\checkmark}$
life insurance payments? e. Federal or State welfare payments,	
Social Security or other govern- ment source?	163 NO
If the answer is "yes" to any of the above money and state the amount received from each	
·	
3. Are you married? Yes V No	-
spouse's Full Name: Veronica Renee Was	hington
Spouse's Place of Employment: None	
Spouse's Monthly Salary, Wages or Income:	
Gross \$ 600.00 Net \$ 600	.00
4. a. List amount you contribute to your	spouse's support:
\$ None	
b. List the persons other than your spow you for support and indicate how mu their support:	
None	
5. Do you own or are you buying a home?	Yes No U
Estimated Market Value: \$ Amount of M	
6. Do you own an automobile? Yes	No V
Make Year Mc	odel
Is it financed? Yes No If so, Tota	al due: \$
Monthly Payment: \$	

 Do you have a bank account in your prison account, and pan officer of the prison.) 	unt? (If you are a perovide the certifica	risoner, include funds te attached, signed by
Yes No		
Name(s) and address(es) of ba	ank:	•
Present balance(s): \$O		
Do you own any cash? Yes Do you have any other assets? asset and its estimated marke	(If "yes," provide	a description of each
8. What are your monthly ex	penses?	
Rent: \$	Utilities:	
Food: \$	Clothing:	-
Charge Accounts:		
Name of Account	Monthly Payment	Total Owed On This Account
	\$ \$	\$
9. Do you have any other debtamounts and to whom they are p		ligations, indicating
No -		
I consent to prison officials paying to the court the initial payments required by the court	al partial filing fee	
I declare under the penalty of correct and understand that a dismissal of my claims.		
5-20-08 an	othony & Pone	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF APPE	TCANT

Case Number:

IN
PRISONER'S ACCOUNT
I certify that attached hereto is a true and correct copy of
the prisoner's trust account statement showing transactions of
Anthony L. Pope for the last six months at [prisoner name]
CSP-SOLANO where (8) he is confined. [name of institution]
I further certify that the average deposits each month to this
prisoner's account for the most recent 6-month period were \$ and
the average balance in the prisoner's account each month for the most
recent 6-month period was \$
Dated:Authorized officer of the institution

CERTIFICATE OF FUNDS

Case Number:

CERTIFICATE OF FUNDS
IN
PRISONER'S ACCOUNT
I certify that attached hereto is a true and correct copy of
the prisoner's trust account statement showing transactions of
Anthony L. Pope for the last six months at [prisoner name]
CSP-SOLANO where (s)he is confined. [name of institution]
I further certify that the average deposits each month to this
prisoner's account for the most recent 6-month period were \$ and
the average balance in the prisoner's account each month for the most
recent 6-month period was \$
Dated: Authorized officer of the institution

FILED

APR X 1 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY L. POPE,	}
Petitioner,	No. C 08-1502 CRB (PR)
VS.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
D. K. SISTO, Warden,	{
Respondent(s).	\{.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J

Anthony L. Pope, a prisoner at California State Prison, Solano, has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus alleging defamation of character. Specifically, Pope alleges that the attorney general's office incorrectly referred to him as a child molester in a declaration filed in support of a request for an extension of time in a pending habeas case of his in this court. Pope seeks an order requiring the attorney general to file a new declaration and damages in the amount of \$50,000.

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice to filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Ninth Circuit has made clear that habeas jurisdiction is absent, and a § 1983 action proper, where,

as here, a successful challenge will not necessarily shorten the prisoner's sentence. See Ramirez v. Galaza, 334 F.3d 850, 859 (9th Cir. 2003).

The clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this order, terminate all pending motions as moot and close the file

SO ORDERED.

DATED: MAR 3 1 2008

CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANT	OH	NY	L.	POPE	
$\Delta IIII$	\mathbf{n}	111	L	COLE	_

Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV08-01502 CRB

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

D.K. SISTO et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on April 1, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Anthony LaShawn Pope J-18522 CSP - Solano 1-244-U P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, CA 95696-4000

Dated: April 1, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: Tracy Lucero, Deputy Clerk

FILED 1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APR X 1 2008 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIACLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 5 ANTHONY L. POPE, No. CV-08-1502 CRB (PR) 6 7 Petitioner, JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE 8 ٧. 9 D. K. SISTO, Warden, 10 Respondent(s). 11 12 () Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues 13 have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict. 14 (X) Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The 15 issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED the petition for a writ of habeas corpus 17 is DISMISSED without prejudice to filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 18 1983. 19 20 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 21 Dated: April 1, 2008 22 23 By: Tracy Lucero Deputy Clerk 24 25 26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AN	TH	ON	Y	L.	PC	PE

Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV08-01502 CRB

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

D.K. SISTO et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on April 1, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Anthony LaShawn Pope J-18522 CSP - Solano 1-244-U P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, CA 95696-4000

Dated: April 1, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: Tracy Lucero, Deputy Clerk

Name			CIVIL FIGHT ACT, 42 U.S.C 5 198
Priso	ner Number J	-18522	
Instit	tutional Addr	ess <u>CSP-SOLANO</u>	PO.BOX 4000, Vacaville, CA. 9569
ಮಹಾಘಾಹ್ಯಾಯ		UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
	FOR	THE NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(Enter	NY LASHAY the full name ff in this ac	of the	Case No. (To be provided by the clerk of court)
).K . <u>S</u> i	vs. ISTO (Ward	den)	COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C § 1983
	he full name t(s) in this		·
All r your	questions on action to pro	this complaint forceed.	orm must be answered in order
Exha	ustion of Adm	ministrative Remed	lies
your	: You must e claim can go hausted claim	forward. The co	istrative remedies before ourt will dismiss any
Α.	Place of pre	sent confinement	CSP-Solano Prison
Et .	Is there a g YES ($\sqrt{\ }$)		e in this institution?
		ent the facts in y priavance procedur	vour complaint for review te? YES() NO (♥)

T+	+F1f	I required the appeal	ist inc appeal number and are each level of review. lovel of appeal, explain	If you
	4. •	Informal appeal		
	2	First formal level _		
	3.	Second formal level		
-	4.	Third formal level		
Ε.	appe	al available to you? \	you appealed the highest YES () NO () Claim for review through	
There	griev	vance procedure, explai	n why. My grievance is without to file the	ththe A.G
Part:	ies		•	•
Write addit	your cional	name and your present plaintiffs, if any.	address. Do the same for	or
C	5P - SC	NY LASHAWN POPE C-AAS-1 ONAIG AOOO	J-18523	
Vo	cavi	4000 Ne, CA. 95696-4000		
Write posit	the i	full name of each defen and his or her place of	dant, his or her officia employment.	11
B				
. Marie of the factories		and a provide the control of the second of the control of the second of		
CONTRACT COMMENT MARKS TO CO.				and the second second second second second second
			_	

II.

tre starement of the se

State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Be sure to describe how each defendant is involved and to include dates, when possible. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you have more than one claim, each claim should be set forth in a separate numbered paragraph.

On 2-20-08 I received an envelope from the Attorney General's
office which was legal mail Inside was an answer or rather an
response from the Attorney General asking the Northern District of
California for an extension of time and the Northern District
granting a 30 day extention. There were three separate parts
enclosed in the envelope. One was the Application For
Enlargement Of Time To File Answer Second was Declaration
Of Coursel In Support Of Application For Enlargement Of Time
To File Answer Third was the Order
On the Declaration Of Counsel In Support Of Application For
Enlargement Of Time To File Answer on the first page and
last paragraph it says "In 2004, petitioner was convicted
in Solano County of fifteen counts of various child
molestation upon multiple victims. He was sentenced to
18 years and four months in prison. He has unsuccessfully
pursued state direct and collateral review."
I was never detained, arrested, or sentenced to any Kind of
hild molestation in 2004 upon any child. In fact I was never
letained, arrested, or sentenced in any county on planet earth
ever of child molestation
I was arrested in Alameda County in Oakland, CA on
December 26,2002 and sentenced to 19 years on -

Statement of claim: Continued pg. 2

April 2,2004 for Second Degree Attempted Robbery.

This false accusation and conviction is causing me an undue amount of pain and suffering, emotional stress because the Attorney General now has every convict believing that I'm a child molester. For the Attorney General to be the head state lawyer of California and a Professional business person he should know better than to Defame a Persons Character, or Slander a persons name which is Libel. It's all DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER

Also Prosecutors misstatement of evidence is a Due Process Violation.

Im asking that I be issued another
Declaration Of Counsel In Support Of
Application For Enlargement Of Time To
File Answer minus the False Child
Molestation accusations which is the
last paragraph on first page plus damages
in the amount of \$50,000.00 from the
Attorney General's Office.

This is not just harmless error because the Attorney General is saying I was detained, -

Statement of Claim.

Continued pg. 3

arrested, and sentenced to a totally
different crime than what I am actually
in prison for . Plus he said I was sentenced
to 18 years and 4 months when in fact I
was sentenced to 19 years.

Child Molesters are not liked in prison or on the outside of prison in normal society and the Attorney General has planted a seed that will only grow. There has been documented evidence of people being falsely accused and murdered.

anthony Salhaum Pope Dated: 5220-08 Page 1

D Shively v. Bozanich, supra, 31 Cal. 4th 1830, 1238, alleged that she had been defamed by being described as a "felony probationer" In the book A Problem Of Evidence. Published by Deputy District Attorney Peter Bozanich who told author Joseph Bosco that Jill Shively was a "felony probationer", adding that the district attorneys office had "checked it out." Joseph Bosco and William Morrow and Company published the

book in December 1996. (2) Khawar v. Globe Intern. Inc. (Cal, 1998) 79 cal. Rptr. ad 178, 19 Cal. 4th 1073A Claimant was accused of assassinating presidential candidant, Senator Robert F. Kennedy, in a newspaper article when facts show Sirhan Sirhan assassinated the Senator and the claimont who was a photojournalist wasn't even a suspect in the governments investigation. The jury found negligence and actual Malice which in torn supports the award of compensatory and punitice damager.

3 Robledo - Vela U. U.S. 45 Fed. appx 567 (2002)
Prosecutors misstatement of evidence wasn't harmless error. @ 5mith v. Wade, 103 5.ct., 1625, 461 U.S. 30 (U.S. Me. 1983) Could be held liable for Punitive damages upon a finding of reckless or carders disregard or indifference to convicts rights

B" Harte - Hanks Communications U. Connaughton, supra, 491 U.S. 657, 688 109 5, Ct. 2678, 105, L. Ed. 2d 562 Had a high degree of awareness of ... Probable falsity and falled

to adhere to Professional standards, which prooves Malice. (6) Cf. Tocker v. Great A & P Tea Co. (D.C. App. 1963) 190 A The stander heard by one person is no less a stander than that heard by a multitude.

IV. Reliet

Your complaint cannot of forward unless you request specific relief. Shate briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you Make no legal arguments; cite no cases or statutes.

I'm asking that I be sent another Declaration Of Counsel In Support Of Application For Enlargement Of Time To File Answer minus the False Child Molestation accusations. Also Damages in the amount of \$50,000.00

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed this $20^{\frac{1}{12}}$ day of May, 2008

anthony S. Pope (Plaintiff's signature)

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE:

Exhibit:
Description of this exhibit: Application For Enlargement Of Time To File Answer
Number of pages of this exhibit:pages
JURISDICTION: (Check only one)
Municipal Court
Superior Court
Appellate Court
State Supreme Court
United States District Court
United States Circuit Court
United States Supreme Court
California Department of Corrections, 602 Exhibit.

	Case 3.06-CV-01502-CRB Document 6 Filed 05/22/2006 Page 19 01 33		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General DANE R. GILLETTE		
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General GERALD A. ENGLER		
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General PEGGY S. RUFFRA		
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GREGORY A. OTT		
6	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 160803		
7	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102		
8	Telephone: (415) 703-5964 Fax: (415) 703-1234 E mail: gragory att@dai.on.gov		
9	E-mail: gregory.ott@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	ANTHONY L. POPE, C 07-0434 CRB (PR)		
14	Petitioner, APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO		
15	FILE ANSWER v.		
16	D.K. SISTO, Warden,		
17	Respondent.		
18 19			
20	For the reasons stated in the accompanying declaration of counsel, respondent hereby		
21	requests a thirty-day enlargement of time in which to file its answer or other pleading responsive to		
22			
23	the petition for writ of habeas corpus. As explained in the accompanying declaration, counsel has not yet received the entire record of petitioner's state proceedings. Counsel cannot determine		
24	whether the petition is timely or exhausted, and cannot yet address petitioner's claim on the merits,		
25	even assuming timeliness and exhaustion.		
26	Counsel has not contacted petitioner regarding this request, as he is in custody and not		
27	represented by counsel.		
28	y		
	APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER - Pope v. Sisto, C 07-0434 CRB (PR) 1		

١	Case 3:08-cv-01502-CRB	Document 6	Filed 05/22/2008	Page 20 of 33	
1	WHEREFORE, respondent respectfully requests that this Court grant an enlargement of				
2	time, to and including March		ch to file his answer.		
3	Dated: February 14, 2008				
4	,		Respectfully submitt	ed,	
5			EDMUND G. BROV Attorney General	VN JR.	
6			Attorney General DANE R. GILLETT Chief Assistant Attor	E mey General	
7			Chief Assistant Attor GERALD A. ENGLI Senior Assistant Atto	ER ornev General	
8			Senior Assistant Atto PEGGY S. RUFFRA Supervising Deputy	Attorney General	
9			8 - 1	,	
10			/s/ Gregory A. Ott GREGORY A. OTT		
11			Deputy Attorney Ger		
12			Attorneys for Respon	ndent	
13	GAO				
14	40218727.wpd				
15			-		
16					
17					
18					
19				·	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27				·	
28					
			-3		
	APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT ANSWER - Pope v. Sisto, C 07-0434 CRB		2		

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: Pope v. Sisto, Warden

No.: C 07-0434 CRB (PR)

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102-7004.

On February 15, 2008, I served the attached

APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER

ORDER

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

Anthony LaShawn Pope CDC No. J-18522 CSP-Solano P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, CA 95696-4000

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on February 15, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

S. Agustin	/s/ S. Agustin	
Declarant	Signature	

40218738.wpd

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE:

Exhibit: ______

Description of this ex	hibit:
Declaration of	Counsel In Support of
Application for	Enlargemen, D.
Time To File Ans	Mei
Number of pages of t	his exhibit: 2 pages
JURISDICTION: (C	heck only one)
Municipal Cou	rt
Superior Court	
Appellate Cour	t
State Supreme	Court
United States D	Pistrict Court
United States C	ircuit Court
United States S	upreme Court
California Depa	artment of Corrections, 602 Exhibit.

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.			
2	Attorney General DANE R. GILLETTE			
	Chief Assistant Attorney General			
3	GERALD A. ENGLER Senior Assistant Attorney General			
4	PEGGY S. RUFFRA Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
5	GREGORY A. OTT Deputy Attorney General			
6	State Bar No. 160803 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000			
7	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5964			
8	Fax: (415) 703-1234			
9	E-mail: gregory.ott@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent			
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	ANTHONY L. POPE,			
14	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN			
15	Petitioner, SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO			
16	v. FILE ANSWER			
17	D.K. SISTO, Warden,			
18	Respondent.			
19				
20	I, GREGORY A. OTT, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:			
21	I am the California Deputy Attorney General assigned to represent respondent in this case.			
22	Respondent's answer was due on February 11, 2008, per this Court's December 14, 2007, Order To			
23	Show Cause. I recognize that the instant application is three days beyond its due date, and apologize			
24	to the Court and petitioner for an inconvenience caused.			
25	I have not previously requested an enlargement of time in this case.			
26	In 2004, petitioner was convicted in Solano County of fifteen counts of various child			
27	molestation upon multiple victims. He was sentenced to eighteen years and four months in prison.			
28	He has unsuccessfully pursued state direct and collateral review.			
	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER – Pope v. Sisto, C 07-0434 CRB (PR) 1			

Case 3:08-cv-01502-CRB Document 6 Filed 05/22/2008 Page 23 of 33

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

1.3

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

I request an additional thirty days to file my answer for the following reasons. I have not yet received the bulk of the record of petitioner's state conviction and post-conviction proceedings. Because petitioner did not directly appeal from his judgment, no "record on appeal" was generated in state court; this office previously had no record at all of petitioner's conviction. Since receiving this Court's Order to Show Cause, I have begun recreating the record, albeit small—petitioner's judgment is based on a plea—of petitioner's judgment. I have received some, but not all, of the record of petitioner's conviction from the Alameda County Superior Court. I am also still receiving the record of petitioner's numerous state collateral review petitions and orders. At this point, I cannot determine whether the petition is exhausted or timely. Even assuming timeliness and exhaustion, I cannot address petitioner's numerous claims on the merits until I receive the balance of the state record. I anticipate receiving the balance of my requested materials by the end of next week. Should I receive the materials in that time frame, I do not anticipate needing additional time to respond to the answer.

I have not contacted petitioner regarding this request, as he is in custody and not represented by counsel.

Accordingly, I request that the Court grant respondent to and including March 12, 2008, in which to file its answer or other response to the petition.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California on February 14, 2008.

> /s/ Gregory A. Ot Deputy Attorney General

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE:

MEshibit: C → 4

Description of this exhibit Ordex

Number of pages of this exhibit: 1 pages a

JURISDICTION: (Check only one)

- s.* Municipal Court
 - Maperior Court 🗫 📆
 - Appellate Court
 - State Supreme Court
- V Anited States District Court
 - United States Circuit Court
 - 🕆 United States Supreme Court 🗵
- California Department of Corrections, 602 Exhibit
 - Other

1			
2			
- 3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		C 07 0424 CDD (DD)	
12	ANTHONY L. POPE,	C 07-0434 CRB (PR)	
13	Petitioner,	ORDER	
14	v.		
15	D.K. SISTO, Warden,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby or	rdered that Respondent may have until March	
19	12, 2008, to file his answer to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. If Petitioner wishes to respond		
20	to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the	ne Court and serving it on Respondent within	
21	thirty days of service of the answer.		
22	Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on	procedural grounds in lieu of an answer. If	
23	Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file	with the court and serve on Respondent an	
24	opposition or statement of nonopposition within thirty days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent		
25	shall file with the court and serve on Petitioner a	reply within fifteen days of receipt of any	
26	opposition.		
27	DATED:, 2008.		
28		RLES R. BREYER ed States District Judge	

Case 3:08-cv-01502-CRB Document 6 Filed 05/22/2008 Page 26 of 33

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE:

et eExhibit: D

Description of this exploit.

Analyment cases (Entent & Pilote).

Number of pages of this exhibit: ${f 5}$ pages

JURISDICTION: (Check only one)

* *** Municipal Court

Security Superior Gount

- Appellate Court
- State Supreme Court
- United States District Court
 - ... United States Circuit Court
- # United States Supreme Court
 - California Department of Corrections, 602 Exhibit.

Other

Dept. No. 112 12/30/02 I/C

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, WILEY W. MANUEL COURTHOUSE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	NO. 47	3038		
v.	COMPLAINT		3 0 2002	
ANTHONY POPE	PFN: AOS576	CEN POPEN	Defina	
Dofondant(s)				

The Undersigned, being sworn says, on Information and belief, that ANTHONY POPE did, in the County of Alameda, State of California, on or about December 26, 2002, commit a Felony, to wit: ATTEMPTED SECOND DEGREE ROBBERY, a violation of section 211 of the PENAL CODE of California, in that said defendant(s) did unlawfully, and by means of force and fear take personal property from the person, possession, and immediate presence of ADRIANA LOPEZ.

"NOTICE: The above offense is a serious felony within the meaning of Penal Code Section 1192,7(c)."

"NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require you to provide specimens and samples pursuant to Penal Code section 296. Willful refusal to provide the specimens and samples is a crime."

FIRST PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about August 16, 1994, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of CONTRA COSTA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: SECOND DEGREE ROBBERY, a violation of section 211 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

SECOND PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about April 20, 1994, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of ALAMEDA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: SECOND DEGREE ROBBERY, a violation of section 211 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

THIRD PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about April 20, 1994, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of ALAMEDA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: SECOND DEGREE ROBBERY, a violation of section 211 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

FOURTH PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about September 1, 1987, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of ALAMEDA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: FIRST DEGREE RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY, a violation of section 459 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

FIFTH PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about September 1, 1987, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of ALAMEDA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: FIRST DEGREE RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY, a violation of section 459 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

SIXTH PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about September 1, 1987, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of ALAMEDA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: FIRST DEGREE RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY, a violation of section 459 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

SEVENTH PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about September 1, 1987, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of ALAMEDA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: FIRST DEGREE RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY, a violation of section 459 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

EIGHTH PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about April 3, 1990, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of CONTRA COSTA, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: FIRST DEGREE RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY, a violation of section 459 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a sentence of probation therefor.

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

NINTH PRIOR CONVICTION AS TO DEFENDANT POPE

The undersigned further alleges that before the commission of the offense specified above, said defendant ANTHONY POPE, on or about September 14, 1994, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of LASSEN, of the crime of a Felony, to wit: SECOND DEGREE ROBBERY, a violation of section 211 of the PENAL CODE of California, and received a prison term therefor.

CAL PRIOR-SERIOUS FELONY

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code section 667(a)(1).

3 STRIKES (TWO PRIORS)

It is further alleged as to count one that the above prior conviction is within the purview of Penal Code sections 1170.12(c)(2)(A) and 667(e)(2)(A).

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1054.5(b), the People are hereby informally requesting that defendant's counsel provide discovery to the People as required by Penal Code Section 1054.3.

Complainant therefore prays that a warrant issue and that said defendant(s) be dealt with according to law.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, Monday, December 30, 2002 SGT. S. TRACE 4. /s/ Oakland PD-02-120533

SANDRA L. QUIST

Assistant District Attorney

State Bar #72309 sq

Alameda County, California

CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON-SOLAND

CONTROL THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O

Office Of The Clerk, U.S. District Court Northern District Of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA. 9<u>A102</u>

STATE PRISON



CSP SOLANO